

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,436	07/18/2003	Josef Ruppert	8178	4973
75	590 11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
Woodling, Kr		ABDELWAHED, ALI F		
Kenneth L. Mitchell 9213 Chillicothe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kirtland, OH	44094	3722		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>}</u>		Tath				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action O	10/622,436	RUPPERT, JOSEF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ali Abdelwahed	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au	ugust 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 22-26</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11,19,20 and 22-26</u> is/are objected	to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r</b> .					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/622,436

Art Unit: 3722

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,915,893 to Miyanaga.

Miyanaga discloses a drilling tool (A) with a chip groove (1), a first bit stage designed as a core bit (4), and at least one second bit stage (2a-2x) arranged in the form of a step (see figs.1B, 2B). All of the bit stages have at least one common chip groove (see fig.1B). The chip groove has an even base (see figs.1B, 2B) and is straight (see fig.1B). The chip groove also has flanks that are of unequal height (see fig. 5; the left edge of the chip groove is higher than the right edge of the chip groove). The flanks of the chip groove are in a diagonal orientation with respect to the groove base (see figs. 5, 6). The path of the chip groove increases in diameter through the successive bit stages (see figs. 2B, 6), which forms an imaginary cone (the imaginary cone is defined by the tool having a cone shape as seen in figs. 2B, 6). The depth of the chip groove has virtually the same depth throughout the bit stages, and the chip groove of each bit stage is a spiral-groove segment smaller than a quarter of a full spiral turn (see figs.1B, 5).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 and 22-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11, 19, 20, and 22-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (571) 272-4417. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 10:00 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor; Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the examiner or the examiner's supervisor.

AA 10/24/2005

> BOYER D. ASHLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER